

Title of meeting:	Scrutiny Management Panel
Date of meeting:	15 July 2016
Subject:	Decision taken by the Cabinet Member for Culture, Leisure and Sport acting on behalf of the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 10 March 2016 in respect of item 5 on that agenda 'London Road Proposals TRO 12/2016' - Call in
Report by:	City Solicitor
Wards affected:	Nelson
Key decision:	No
Full Council decision:	No

1. Purpose of report

To request the Panel to review the decision taken by the Cabinet Member for Culture, Leisure and Sport acting on behalf of the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 10 March 2016 in respect of item 5 on that agenda 'London Road Proposals TRO 12/2016'. The report which was considered by the Cabinet Member on 10 March is attached with the minute of the decision (App 1(a) and 1(b) respectively.

2. Call In and alternative decision making

This decision was called in in accordance with Part 3 of the Constitution of the Council. The summary of reasons for call in are that the decision may have been taken without adequate information.

Further details for the reasons for call in are attached at Appendix 2.

3. Recommendations

It is RECOMMENDED that:

- 3.1. The Panel considers the evidence and decides whether or not the decision made by the Cabinet Member for Culture, Leisure and Sport acting on behalf of the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation on 10 March 2016 should be upheld or referred back to the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation for consideration.**
- 3.2. If the Panel decides to refer the matter back to the Cabinet Member who took the decision, it provides reasons for doing so and reasons why it should be reconsidered.**

4. Background

- 4.1. Please see attached report and minute which provide the background to the decision made on 10 March 2016 (Appendices 1(a) and 1(b).)
- 4.2. As the decision is not contrary to budget or policy, steps have been taken to implement the decision.

5. Reasons for recommendations

To ensure that the Scrutiny Management Panel is satisfied that the decision maker had adequate information.

6. Equality impact assessment

An equality impact assessment is not required as the recommendations do not have a negative impact on any of the protected characteristics as described in the Equality Act 2010.

7. Legal implications

There are none.

8. Director of Finance's comments

As the recommendations in this report relate to the process, there are no financial implications at this stage.

.....
Signed by:

Appendices:

Appendix 1

- (a) Report for Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation 10 March 2016
- (b) Minute of decisions taken by the Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation 10 March 2016

Appendix 2

- Call in request

Background list of documents: Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972

The following documents disclose facts or matters, which have been relied upon to a material extent by the author in preparing this report:

Title of document	Location

The recommendation(s) set out above were approved/ approved as amended/ deferred/
rejected by on

.....
Signed by: